

Responses have been late and inadequate showing that the applicant is not giving the process full consideration.

Proposed buffer zones are insufficient.

The full infrastructure and health implications of associated electricity substations have not been fully submitted/approved and until this is the case, nothing should be allowed to progress. The full impact of the scheme should be considered rather than a salami approach.

A maintenance [due to the life of the panels] and full decommissioning fund should be submitted approved and made available [ringfenced and unavailable to be withdrawn] prior to any approval being granted to ensure that these activities will be done properly, rather than the applicant conveniently running out of funds at the relevant time. leaving a desolate site and a burden on the taxpayer.

Information of the funding sources for the project are not transparent enough.

Public rights of way are inadequate at 5m.

Insufficient analysis of impact of future flooding caused by panel runoff.

Increased and ongoing risk to airport safety.

Loss of best / most versatile land for food production. We should be sourcing more food locally rather than removing the possibility of providing it over a considerable timeperiod. 'Community food growing areas' do not outway this.

Solar power at its current level of efficiency and within the UK, is not adequate for this scale of operation, especially when it is ruining good agricultural land. There are better options unutilised in terms of roofs of warehouses and new housing that could be used first.